arXiv:1408.4755v1 [math.ST] 20 Aug 2014

Shannon Entropy and Mutual Information in Log Asymmetric Distributions With Normal Kernel.

Marina Muniz Roger Silva Rosangela Loschi

August 21, 2014

Abstract

In this work we provide the Shannon entropy for a wide class of skew and log skew multivariate distributions with normal kernel, namely the Canonical Fundamental Skew Normal and Log Canonical Fundamental Skew Normal distributions and express them in terms of the entropy of a Multivariate Normal distribution. In addition we obtain the information index and the Kullback-Leibler measure for these distributions.
Keywords: Multivariate Skew Normal, Multivariate Log skew normal, Shannon entropy.

1 Introduction

Information theory, also known as communication theory, was introduced by Shannon (1948) in order to obtain better understanding of problems arising in areas such communication systems, encrypted data transmission and data compression. The common objective is to quantify the amount of information in a system. With this in mind Shannon introduced a quantity called Entropy. Informally, the entropy is a mathematical measure of the mean necessary information in order to describe the behavior of a random quantity. Formally, the entropy of a random vector $\mathbf{Z} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with probability density function (PDF) $f_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{z})$ is defined as the expected value of $-\ln(f_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{Z}))$, that is,

$$H_{\mathbf{Z}} = -E(\ln(f_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{Z}))) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \ln(f_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{z})) f_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{z} \tag{1.1}$$

where $H_{\mathbf{Z}}$ denotes the entropy of the random vector $\mathbf{Z}$.

Another important concept introduced by Shannon is the mutual information index. This is a measure of the information one random vector contains about the other. Formally, consider the random vectors $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ with joint density $f_{\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ and define

$$I_{\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}} = -E\left[\ln\left(\frac{f_{\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y})}{f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{X}) f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{Y})}\right)\right] = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^m}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_{\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}) \ln\left(\frac{f_{\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y})}{f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{X}) f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{Y})}\right) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y}. \tag{1.2}$$

We call $I_{\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}}$ the mutual information between $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{Y}$. It can be show that $I_{\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}} \geq 0$ always. Observe that if $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{Y}$ are independent then $I_{\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}} = 0$. Also, using the definition of entropy and some properties of logarithm it is immediate that

$$I_{\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}} = H_{\mathbf{X}} + H_{\mathbf{Y}} - H_{\mathbf{XY}}. \tag{1.3}$$

Suppose now we want to measure the inefficiency in assuming that the distribution is $f_{\mathbf{Y}}$ when the true distribution is $f_{\mathbf{X}}$. To quantify this we define the relative entropy between $f_{\mathbf{X}}$ and $f_{\mathbf{Y}}$ which is given by

$$D(f_{\mathbf{X}}||f_{\mathbf{Y}}) = E_{\mathbf{X}}\left[\ln\left(\frac{f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{X})}{f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{X})}\right)\right] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x})\left[\ln\left(\frac{f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{X})}{f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{X})}\right)\right] d\mathbf{x}. \tag{1.4}$$

Proofs of the facts and definitions made so far can be seen in Cover and Thomas (2006).

The entropy of several distributions are already known. For example, Kullback (1978) obtained the entropy of a Normal distribution while Ahmed and Gokhale (1989) derived such results for many multivariate distributions. Javier and Gupta (2008, 2009) studied the mutual information index for non normal multivariate location scale families. In a recent paper, Arellano-Valle *et al.* (2011) studied the entropy and mutual information index in the multivariate Elliptical distribution family and also in the Skew-Elliptical multivariate framework. The authors present several results for the multivariate Skew-Normal and multivariate Skew-t particular cases. Besides that, the authors implement their results to the application of the optimal design of an ozone monitoring station network in Santiago de Chile.

In this paper we show some results related to the calculus of the entropy of some univariate and multivariate distributions. In particular, our main interest is related to asymmetric distributions with the positive reals as support, such as the Log-Normal and Log-Skew Normal distributions. We show some relations between the entropy of these distributions with the Normal distribution entropy. Mainly, we are concerned in studying the entropy and mutual information for the so called Log Canonical Fundamental Skew-Normal (LCFUSN) family of distributions. This distribution and its properties were introduced in Muniz, Loschi and Silva (In preparation). We show how the entropy of the LCFUSN distribution is related to the entropies of the multivariate Normal distribution and Canonical Fundamental Skew-Normal (CFUSN) distributions, as defined by Arellano-Valle and Genton (2005). As a by product of our analysis we obtain the entropy and mutual information for the multivariate Log-Skew-Normal distribution defined in Marchenko and Genton (2010) and also the entropy obtained by Arellano-Valle *et al.* (2011) in the normality setup.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we define the distributions for which we calculate the entropy. We do this in two steps, considering first univariate distributions and then the multivariate extensions. In Section 3 we find expressions for the entropy of these distributions and relate them. We also derive the mutual information index for LCFUSN distribution and consequently for the multivariate Skew-Normal distribution we mentioned in the last paragraph. Proofs are presented in Appendix. To establish notation, in this work $\phi_n(x|\mu,\mathbf{\Sigma})$ and $\Phi_n(x|\mu,\mathbf{\Sigma})$ will always denote the density and distribution function of a multivariate Normal distribution $N_n(\mu,\mathbf{\Sigma})$, respectively. If $\mu = 0$ (respectively $\mu = 0$ and $\mathbf{\Sigma} = I_n$) these functions will be denoted by $\phi_n(x|\mathbf{\Sigma})$ and $\Phi_n(x|\mathbf{\Sigma})$ (respectively $\phi_n(x)$ and $\Phi_n(x)$).

2 Some definitions

In this section we present some basic definitions that will be useful along this paper. Some of these definitions are completed standard but we present them here for the benefit of the text. We start noticing that if $X \sim N(\mu,\sigma^2)$ and $Y = \exp(X)$ then Y has Log-Normal distribution with parameters μ and σ^2, denoted by $LN(\mu,\sigma^2)$, and its density is given by

$$f(y,\mu,\sigma) = \frac{1}{y}\phi\left(\ln y|\mu,\sigma\right),\ y \in \mathbb{R}^+. \tag{2.1}$$

The normality assumption is not always satisfied in analyzing data, for example, the data could have a

certain amount of asymmetry or the presence of heavy tails could be realistic. In the seminal paper by Azzalini (1985) the author derived the univariate Skew-Normal distribution, by introducing an additional parameter that controls asymmetry. In that paper we can find the following definition.

Definition 1. *We say that X has a Skew-Normal distribution if its density is given by*

$$f(z \mid \mu, \sigma, \alpha) = \frac{2}{\sigma}\phi\left(\frac{z-\mu}{\sigma}\right)\Phi\left(\alpha\left(\frac{z-\mu}{\sigma}\right)\right),\ z \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.2}$$

where μ and σ^2 are the location and scale parameters respectively and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ is the asymmetry parameter. We denote this distribution by $SN(\mu, \sigma, \alpha)$.

Observe that the normal distribution is a particular case of (2.2) when α equals zero and when $\alpha \to \infty$ it converges to the Half Normal distribution. Azzalini (1985) also obtains the expected value and variance of $X \sim SN(\mu, \sigma, \alpha)$, which are given respectively by

$$E(X) = \mu + \sigma\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^2}} \text{ and } Var(X) = \sigma^2\left(1 - \frac{2\alpha^2}{\pi(1+\alpha^2)}\right). \tag{2.3}$$

The Skew Normal distribution is supported in real line and for this reason is not adequate to model positive data, such as precipitation, income, etc. With this in mind, Azzalini *et al.* (2003) introduce the Log-Skew-Normal distribution. We define it below.

Definition 2. *Let $Z \sim SN(\mu, \sigma, \alpha)$ and consider the transformation $Y = e^Z$. Then Y has the Log-Skew-Normal distribution, denoted by $Y \sim LSN(\mu, \sigma, \alpha)$, and its density is given by*

$$f(y \mid \mu, \sigma, \alpha) = \frac{2}{\sigma y}\phi\left(\frac{\ln y - \mu}{\sigma}\right)\Phi\left(\alpha\left(\frac{\ln y - \mu}{\sigma}\right)\right), y > 0, \tag{2.4}$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ is the location parameter, $\sigma^2 > 0$ is the scale parameter and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ is the asymmetry parameter.

Note that (2.4) reduces to the Log Normal distribution in (2.1) when $\alpha = 0$.

The multivariate analog of the Skew-Normal distribution was introduced by Azzalini and Dalla Valle (1996). Branco and Dey (2001) and Azzalini and Capitanio (2003) presented the univariate and multivariate skew-t distributions, which extend the respective skew-normal distributions by allowing to control the tails of the distribution with the additional degrees of freedom parameter. The book of Genton (2004) and the paper of Azzalini (2005) are the main sources of a detailed discussion of this distributions properties. In this paper we make use of the Canonical Fundamental Skew-Normal (CFUSN) family of distributions introduced in Arellano-Valle and Genton (2005). We have the following definition.

Definition 3. *We say that Z^* has a k-variate canonical fundamental skew-normal (CFUSN) distribution with a $k \times m$ skewness matrix Δ, which will be denoted by $Z^* \sim CFUSN_{k,m}(\Delta)$, if its density is given by*

$$f_{Z^*}(\mathbf{z}) = 2^m\phi_k(\mathbf{z})\Phi_m(\Delta'\mathbf{z}|I_m - \Delta'\Delta), \quad \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^k, \tag{2.5}$$

where Δ is such that $||\Delta\mathbf{a}|| < 1$, for all unitary vectors $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Here $||\cdot||$ denotes euclidean norm.

This distribution generalizes the Multivariate Skew Normal Distribution defined by Azzalini and Dalla Valle (1996) by taking $m = 1$ and $\Delta = (\delta_1, \delta_2, \ldots, \delta_k)^T$. Also, if $m = k$ and $\Delta = diag(\delta_1, \delta_2, \ldots, \delta_k)$ then (2.5) reduces to the product of k Skew Normal marginals. This implies that, for any univariate Skew Normal

random sample $Y_i^* \sim SN(\alpha)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, we have that $Y^* = (Y_i^*, \ldots, Y_i^*)^T \sim CFUSN_{n,n}(\delta I_n)$, where $\delta = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^2}}$.

Arellano-Valle and Genton (2005) also introduce a location scale version of the CFUSN distribution. This is accomplished by considering $Z^* \sim CFUSN_{n,m}(\mathbf{\Delta})$ and the linear transformation

$$\mathbf{W}^* = \mu + \mathbf{\Sigma}^{1/2}\mathbf{Z}^*, \tag{2.6}$$

where μ is the location vector of order $n \times 1$ and $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ denotes the definite positive scale matrix of dimension $n \times n$. In this case, we say that $\mathbf{W}^* \sim CFUSN_{n,m}(\mu, \mathbf{\Sigma}, \mathbf{\Delta})$. The pdf of $\mathbf{W}^*$ exists and is

$$f_{\mathbf{W}^*}(\mathbf{w}) = 2^m |\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{-1/2} \phi_n(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1/2}(\mathbf{w} - \mu)) \Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta}'\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1/2}(\mathbf{w} - \mu) | \mathbf{I}_m - \mathbf{\Delta}'\mathbf{\Delta}), \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{2.7}$$

As in the Univariate case, in many situations however, the data has positive support and it is not recommended the use of distributions supported in the real line. Note that this is the case of the skew-normal, skew-t, and more generally, skew-elliptical distributions. In these situations the Gamma, Exponential and Log-Normal distributions should be used for modeling purposes. However, in the multivariate context, with the exception of the Log-Normal case, the situation becomes worse, since the multivariate versions of these distributions are intractable. With this problem in mind Muniz, Loschi and Silva (In preparation) introduced the Canonical Fundamental Log-Skew-Normal (LCFUSN) family of distributions. Let $\mathbf{Z}^* = (Z_1^*, \ldots, Z_n^*)'$ be a $n \times 1$ random vector and consider the transformations $\exp(\mathbf{Z}^*) = (\exp(Z_1^*), \ldots, \exp(Z_n^*))'$ and $\ln \mathbf{Z}^* = (\ln Z_1^*, \ldots, \ln Z_n^*)'$.

Definition 4. *Let $\mathbf{Z}^*$ and $\mathbf{Y}$ be $n \times 1$ random vectors such that $\mathbf{Z}^* = \ln \mathbf{Y}$. We say that $\mathbf{Y}$ has a log-canonical-fundamental-skew-normal distribution with skewness matrix of parameters $\mathbf{\Delta}$ denoted by $\mathbf{Y} \sim LCFUSN_{n,m}(\mathbf{\Delta})$, if $\mathbf{Z}^* \sim CFUSN_{n,m}(\mathbf{\Delta})$ with pdf given in (2.5).*

We observe that, in the normal case, this distribution generalizes the family defined by Marchenko and Genton (2010) (take $m = 1$ and $\alpha = (\mathbf{I_m} - \mathbf{\Delta}'\mathbf{\Delta})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{\Delta}'$) by allowing control on the parameter m and on the asymmetry vector $\mathbf{\Delta}$.

Muniz, Loschi and Silva (In preparation) also introduce a location scale version of the LCFUSN distribution. This done by considering a $n \times 1$ random vector $\mathbf{W}^* \sim CFUSN_{n,m}(\mu, \mathbf{\Sigma}; \mathbf{\Delta})$ and the transformation $\mathbf{U} = e^{\mathbf{W}^*}$. Then $\mathbf{U} \sim LCFUSN_{n,m}(\mu, \mathbf{\Sigma}; \mathbf{\Delta})$, where μ is a $n \times 1$ location vector and $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ a $n \times n$ definite positive scale matrix. The pdf of $\mathbf{U}$ is, $\forall\, \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{n^+}$,

$$f_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbf{u}) = 2^m |\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{-1/2} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{n} u_j \right)^{-1} \phi_n(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1/2}(\ln \mathbf{u} - \mu)) \Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta}'\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1/2}(\ln \mathbf{u} - \mu) | \mathbf{I}_m - \mathbf{\Delta}'\mathbf{\Delta}). \tag{2.8}$$

Remark 1: In order to calculate the mutual information in the LCFUSN family we need the marginal distributions in the family. In Muniz, Loschi and Silva (In preparation) the authors show the following result. Let $\mathbf{Y} \sim LCFUSN_{n,m}(\mathbf{\Delta})$. Define the partitions $\mathbf{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Y}_1 \\ \mathbf{Y}_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\mathbf{\Delta} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{\Delta}_1 \\ \mathbf{\Delta}_2 \end{pmatrix}$, where $\mathbf{Y}_i$ is a $n_i \times 1$ random vector and $\mathbf{\Delta}_i$ is a $n_i \times m$ matrix, with $n_1 + n_2 = n$. Then, for $i = 1, 2$, $\mathbf{Y}_i \sim LCFUSN_{n_i,m}(\mathbf{\Delta}_i)$ with PDF given by

$$f_{\mathbf{Y}_i}(\mathbf{y}_i) = 2^m \left(\prod_{j=1}^{n_i} y_j \right)^{-1} \phi_{n_i}(\ln \mathbf{y}_i) \Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta}_i' \ln \mathbf{y}_i | \mathbf{I}_m - \mathbf{\Delta}_i'\mathbf{\Delta}_i), \mathbf{y}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i^+}. \tag{2.9}$$

3 Entropy and mutual information

3.1 Univariate Cases

In this section we calculate the entropy of the distributions defined in the previous section and we start with the univariate cases. It is a well known result (see Cover and Thomas (2006) for example) that the entropy of a Normal distribution with parameters $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma^2 > 0$ is

$$H_{N(\mu,\sigma^2)} = \frac{1}{2}\ln\sigma^2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 + \ln(2\pi)). \tag{3.1}$$

It should be observed that the Normal distribution entropy is the largest possible between all continuous symmetric distributions (for a proof see Cover and Thomas (2006) for example). Also, this entropy does not depend on the location parameter μ. In contrast, the entropy of the corresponding Log Normal distribution is a increasing function of the parameter μ. Formally, if $X \sim LN(\mu, \sigma^2)$, then

$$H_X := H_{LN(\mu,\sigma^2)} = H_{N(\mu,\sigma^2)} + \mu, \tag{3.2}$$

where $H_{N(\mu,\sigma^2)}$ stands for the entropy of a Normal distribution with parameters μ and σ^2. Note that this entropy is larger than the entropy of a $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ if and only if $\mu > 0$.

In the multivariate context, Arellano-Valle *et al.* (2011) obtain the entropy of a large class of distributions, namely the class of Multivariate Skew Elliptical distributions, of which the Multivariate Skew Normal distribution is a special case. In the next proposition we state a very particular result stablished in this paper, which is the entropy of a Univariate Skew-Normal distribution.

Proposition 1. *(Arellano-Valle et al., 2011) The entropy of a Skew Normal random variable $Y \sim SN(\mu, \sigma^2, \alpha)$ is*

$$H_Y := H_{SN(\mu,\sigma^2,\alpha)} = H_{N(\mu,\sigma^2)} - E[\ln(2\Phi(\alpha X_0))], \tag{3.3}$$

where $X_0 \sim SN(\alpha)$.

We observe that the entropy of a Skew-Normal distribution is a function of the entropy of a $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ distribution and of the assymetrizing function. Also, it does not depend on the parameter μ. It is not hard to see, by making use of the Monotone Convergence Theorem, that

$$\lim_{\alpha\to\infty} H_{SN(\mu,\sigma^2,\alpha)} = H_{N(\mu,\sigma^2)} - \ln(2). \tag{3.4}$$

Also

$$\lim_{\alpha\to 0} H_{SN(\mu,\sigma^2,\alpha)} = H_{N(\mu,\sigma^2)} \tag{3.5}$$

In particular, when $\mu = 0$, the entropy of $SN(0, \sigma^2, \alpha)$ converges to the entropy of a Half Normal distribution with parameter σ^2. Figure 2 displays the entropy of $SN(\mu, \sigma^2, \alpha)$ as a function of α (The curves were obtained by Monte Carlo methods). It can be seen that this entropy is a decreasing function of α and if we increase σ^2 by one unity the curve is translated by a factor of $\ln(\sigma^2 + 1)$.

The next result is as far as we know new and is related to the entropy of a Log-Skew-Normal distribution.

Proposition 2. *The entropy of a Log Skew Normal random variable $Y \sim LSN(\mu, \sigma^2, \alpha)$ is*

$$H_Y := H_{LSN(\mu,\sigma^2,\alpha)} = H_{SN(\mu,\sigma^2,\alpha)} + E(Y), \tag{3.6}$$

where $Y \sim SN(\mu, \sigma^2, \alpha)$.

Expressions (3.4) and (2.3) imply that

$$\lim_{\alpha\to\infty} H_{LSN(\mu,\sigma^2,\alpha)} = H_{N(\mu,\sigma^2)} + \mu + \sigma\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} - \ln(2). \tag{3.7}$$

Also

$$\lim_{\alpha\to 0} H_{LSN(\mu,\sigma^2,\alpha)} = H_{LN(\mu,\sigma^2)}. \tag{3.8}$$

Figure 2 suggests that $H_{LSN(\mu,\sigma^2,\alpha)}$ is an increasing function of α until some global maximum $H_{LSN(\mu,\sigma^2,\alpha^*)}$ and decreasing afterwards.



Figure 1: Entropy of the $SN(0,\sigma^2,\alpha)$ (left) and $LSN(0,\sigma^2,\alpha)$ (right).

Remark 2: Using Proposition 1 we can write (3.6) as

$$H_{LSN(\mu,\sigma^2,\alpha)} = H_{N(\mu,\sigma^2)} - E[\ln(2\Phi(\alpha X_0))] + E(Y).$$

Also, by Expression (3.2), we have that

$$H_{LSN(\mu,\sigma^2,\alpha)} = H_{LN(\mu,\sigma^2)} - E[\ln(2\Phi(\alpha X_0))] + \mu + E(Y).$$

In the next section we present the entropies of the CFUSN and LCFUSN distributions. We relate this entropies to the entropy of a multivariate Normal distribution.

3.2 Multivariate cases

Let $\mathbf{Z}$ be a n-dimensional random vector with Normal distribution with mean vector μ and covariance matrix $\mathbf{\Sigma}$, that is, $\mathbf{Z} \sim N_n(\mu, \mathbf{\Sigma})$. It is easy to show that the entropy of this random vector is

$$H_{\mathbf{Z}} := H_{N_n(\mu,\mathbf{\Sigma})} = \frac{1}{2}\ln|\mathbf{\Sigma}| + \frac{n}{2}(1+\ln(2\pi)) = \frac{1}{2}\ln|\mathbf{\Sigma}| + H_{N_n(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{I_n})}, \tag{3.9}$$

where $H_{N_n(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{I_n})}$ is the entropy of a random vector with standard multivariate Normal distribution. For a proof see Cover and Thomas (2006). Note that, as in the univariate case, this entropy does not depend on μ. We now obtain the entropy of a $CFUSN_{n,m}(\mu, \mathbf{\Sigma}, \Delta)$ distribution. In what follows we define $\Delta^* = I_m - \Delta'\Delta$.

Proposition 3. *The entropy of a Canonical Fundamental Skew Normal random vector* $\mathbf{X} \sim CFUSN_{n,m}(\mu, \mathbf{\Sigma}; \mathbf{\Delta})$ *is*

$$H_{\mathbf{X}} := H_{CFUSN(\mu,\mathbf{\Sigma},\mathbf{\Delta})} = \frac{n}{2}\ln 2\pi + \frac{1}{2}\ln|\mathbf{\Sigma}| + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} E(X_{i0}^2) - E_{X_0}[\ln(2^m \Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta'X}_0|\mathbf{\Delta}^*))], \tag{3.10}$$

where X_{i0} *is the* $i-th$ *component of the random vector* $\mathbf{X}_0 \sim CFUSN_{n,m}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I_n}, \mathbf{\Delta})$.



Figure 2: Entropy of the $CFUSN_{1,2}(\Delta)$ with $\Delta = (\delta_1, \delta_2)$.

In Arellano-Valle and Genton (2005) the authors obtain many properties of the $CFUSN_{n,m}(\mu, \mathbf{\Sigma}, \mathbf{\Delta})$ distribution, including marginal distributions and its moments. In particular they show that if $\mathbf{X} \sim CFUSN_{n,m}(\mu, \mathbf{\Sigma}, \mathbf{\Delta})$ then

$$E(\mathbf{X}) = \mu + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{1/2}\mathbf{\Delta}\mathbf{1}_m \tag{3.11}$$

and

$$Var(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{\Sigma} - \frac{2}{\pi}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{1/2}\mathbf{\Delta}\mathbf{\Delta}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{1/2}. \tag{3.12}$$

Also, if $(\mathbf{X}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{X}_n)$ is a partition of $\mathbf{X} \sim CFUSN_{n,m}(\mathbf{\Delta})$ with $\mathbf{\Delta} = (\mathbf{\Delta}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{\Delta}_n)$, then $X_i \sim CFUSN_{1,m}(\mathbf{\Delta}_i)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n,$. This enable us to write

$$\begin{aligned} H_{CFUSN(\mu,\mathbf{\Sigma},\mathbf{\Delta})} &= \frac{n}{2}\ln 2\pi + \frac{1}{2}\ln|\mathbf{\Sigma}| + \frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi}\left[-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{m}\Delta_{ij}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m}\Delta_{ij}\right)^2\right] - \\ &\quad E_{X_0}[\ln(2^m \Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta'X}_0|\mathbf{\Delta}^*))], \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

where Δ_{ij} are the components of $\mathbf{\Delta}$. Also, if $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ is a covariance matrix, then (3.10) can be written as a function of the Multivariate Normal distribution entropy, that is

$$H_{CFUSN_{n,m}(\mu,\mathbf{\Sigma},\mathbf{\Delta})} = H_{N_n(\mu,\mathbf{\Sigma})} + \frac{1}{\pi}\left[-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{m}\Delta_{ij}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m}\Delta_{ij}\right)^2\right] - E_{X_0}[\ln(2^m\Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta'X}_0|\mathbf{\Delta}^*))].$$

If we consider the additional hypotheses that $\mathbf{\Delta\Delta}^{'}$ is a diagonal matrix then we have the following.

Corolary 5. *If $\mathbf{Z} \sim CFUSN_{n,m}(\mu, \mathbf{\Sigma}, \mathbf{\Delta})$, where $\mathbf{\Delta}$ is such that $\mathbf{\Delta'\Delta}$ is a diagonal matrix of order $m \times m$ and $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ is a covariance matrix, then*

$$H_{CFUSN_{n,m}(\mu,\mathbf{\Sigma},\mathbf{\Delta})} = H_{N_n(\mu,\mathbf{\Sigma})} - E[\ln(2^m\Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta'X}_0|\mathbf{I}_m - \mathbf{\Delta'\Delta}))], \tag{3.14}$$

where $\mathbf{X}_0 \sim CFUSN_{n,m}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I_n}, \mathbf{\Delta})$.

This last result immediately generalizes the result of Arellano-Valle *et al.* (2011) in the case of normality (take $m = 1$ and $\alpha = (\mathbf{I_m} - \mathbf{\Delta'\Delta})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{\Delta'}$). That is, we have the following corollary.

Corolary 6. *The entropy of a Skew Normal random vector is*

$$H_{SN_n(\mu,\mathbf{\Sigma},\alpha)} = H_{N_n(\mu,\mathbf{\Sigma})} - E[\ln(2\Phi(\overline{\alpha}'Z_0))], \tag{3.15}$$

where $\mathbf{Z}_0 \sim SN_n(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I_n}, \overline{\alpha})$.

We now obtain the entropy of the $LCFUSN_{n,m}(\mu, \mathbf{\Sigma}, \mathbf{\Delta})$ distribution.

Proposition 4. *The entropy of a Log Canonical Fundamental Skew Normal random vector $\mathbf{Z} \sim LCFUSN_{n,m}(\mu, \mathbf{\Sigma}, \mathbf{\Delta})$ is*

$$H_{LCFUSN_{n,m}(\mu,\mathbf{\Sigma},\mathbf{\Delta})} = H_{CFUSN_{n,m}(\mu,\mathbf{\Sigma},\mathbf{\Delta})} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} E(X_i), \tag{3.16}$$

where X_i is the $i-th$ component of the random vector $\mathbf{X} \sim CFUSN_{n,m}(\mu, \mathbf{\Sigma}, \mathbf{\Delta})$.

Putting (3.11) together with the result in Proposition 3 we have that

$$\begin{aligned} H_{LCFUSN_{n,m}(\mu,\mathbf{\Sigma},\mathbf{\Delta})} &= \frac{1}{2}\ln|\mathbf{\Sigma}| + \frac{n}{2}\ln 2\pi + \frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{m}\Delta_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m}\Delta_{ij}\right)^2\right] + \\ &\quad \sum_{i=1}^{n}\mu_i + (\mathbf{1}_m\mathbf{\Delta\Sigma}^{1/2})'\mathbf{1}_n - E_{X_0}[\ln(2^m\Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta'X}_0|\mathbf{\Delta}^*))], \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

where $\mathbf{1}_n$ is a vector of ones of order $n \times 1$. Also, if $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ is a covariance matrix then

$$\begin{aligned} H_{LCFUSN_{n,m}(\mu,\mathbf{\Sigma},\mathbf{\Delta})} &= H_{N(\mu,\mathbf{\Sigma})} + \frac{1}{\pi}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{m}\Delta_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m}\Delta_{ij}\right)^2\right] + \\ &\quad \sum_{i=1}^{n}\mu_i + (\mathbf{1}_m\mathbf{\Delta\Sigma}^{1/2})'\mathbf{1}_n - E_{X_0}[\ln(2^m\Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta'X}_0|\mathbf{\Delta}^*))]. \end{aligned}$$

We also have the following corollary, which proof follows the same lines as the proof of Corollary 5.

Corolary 7. *If* $\mathbf{Z} \sim LCFUSN_{n,m}(\mu, \mathbf{\Sigma}, \mathbf{\Delta})$*, where* $\mathbf{\Delta}$ *is such that* $\mathbf{\Delta}'\mathbf{\Delta}$ *is a* $m \times m$ *diagonal matrix and* $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ *is a covariance matrix, then*

$$H_{LCFUSN_{n,m}(\mu,\mathbf{\Sigma},\mathbf{\Delta})} = H_{N_n(\mu,\mathbf{\Sigma})} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} E(X_i) - E[\ln(2^m \Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta}'\mathbf{X}_0|\mathbf{I}_m - \mathbf{\Delta}'\mathbf{\Delta}))], \tag{3.18}$$

where $X_0 \sim CFUSN_{n,m}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_n, \mathbf{\Delta})$ *and* X_i *is the i-th component of the random vector* $\mathbf{X} \sim CFUSN_{n,m}(\mu, \mathbf{\Sigma}, \mathbf{\Delta})$*.*

The next result, which is an immediate consequence of Proposition 4, establishes the entropy of the distribution defined by Marchenko and Genton (2010) in case of normality.

Corolary 8. *The entropy of a* $n \times 1$ *random vector with Log-Skew-Normal distribution is given by*

$$H_{LSN_n(\mu,\mathbf{\Sigma},\alpha)} = H_{SN_n(\mu,\mathbf{\Sigma},\alpha)} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} E(Y_i),$$

where $H_{SN_n(\mu,\mathbf{\Sigma},\alpha)}$ *is the entropy of a random vector with* $SN_n(\mu, \mathbf{\Sigma}, \alpha)$ *and* Y_i *is the i-th component of* $\mathbf{Y} \sim SN_n(\mu, \mathbf{\Sigma}, \alpha)$*. Also, if* $\alpha = 0$*, then*

$$H_{LN_n(\mu,\mathbf{\Sigma},\alpha)} = H_{N_n(\mu,\mathbf{\Sigma})} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i.$$

3.3 Mutual Information and Relative Entropy in the LCFUSN Family

Consider a random vector $\mathbf{X}$ with some distribution and a partition of $\mathbf{X}$, say $(\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2)$. Suppose we want to quantify the amount of information $\mathbf{X}_1$ contains about $\mathbf{X}_2$. For that end we now calculate the mutual information index (defined in (1.2)) for $\mathbf{X}_1$ and $\mathbf{X}_2$ when $\mathbf{X} \sim LCFUSN_{n,m}(\mathbf{\Delta})$.

Proposition 5. *For any two partitions* $\mathbf{X}_1 \sim LCFUSN_{n_1,m}(\mathbf{\Delta}_1)$ *and* $\mathbf{X}_2 \sim LCFUSN_{n_2,m}(\mathbf{\Delta}_2)$ *of a random vector* $\mathbf{X} \sim LCFUSN_{n,m}(\mathbf{\Delta})$ *we have*

$$I_{\mathbf{Z}_1\mathbf{Z}_2} = E_X\left[\ln\left(\frac{\Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta}'\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{I}_m - \mathbf{\Delta}'\mathbf{\Delta})}{2^m \Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta}_1'\mathbf{X}_1|\mathbf{I}_m - \mathbf{\Delta}_1'\mathbf{\Delta}_1)\Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta}_2'\mathbf{X}_2|\mathbf{I}_m - \mathbf{\Delta}_2'\mathbf{\Delta}_2)}\right)\right], \tag{3.19}$$

where $\mathbf{X} \sim CFUSN_{n,m}(\mathbf{\Delta})$ *and* $\mathbf{X}_1$ *e* $\mathbf{X}_2$ *is a partition of* $\mathbf{X}$ *such that* $\mathbf{X}_1 \sim CFUSN_{n_1,m}(\mathbf{\Delta}_1)$ *and* $\mathbf{X}_2 \sim CFUSN_{n_2,m}(\mathbf{\Delta}_2)$*.*

An usual tool useful to compare two distributions is the so called Relative Entropy or Kullback-Leibler distance. This quantity measures the inefficiency of assuming that the true distribution is $f_{\mathbf{X}}$ whereas it is $f_{\mathbf{Y}}$. The next proposition gives us a way to compare the $LCFUSN_{n,m}(\mu, \mathbf{\Sigma}, \mathbf{\Delta})$ distribution with the $LSN_n(\mu, \mathbf{\Sigma}, \alpha)$ defined in Marchenko and Genton (2010). As we observed earlier, in the normal case, the former distribution generalizes the later by the introduction of a m-variate asymmetrizing function. Therefore it is important to be able to compare them in the terms of m.

Proposition 6. *Let* $\mathbf{Z} \sim LCFUSN_{n,m}(\mu, \mathbf{\Sigma}, \mathbf{\Delta})$ *and* $\mathbf{Y} \sim LSN_n(\mu, \mathbf{\Sigma}, \alpha)$*. Then, the relative entropy* $D(f_{\mathbf{Z}}||f_{\mathbf{Y}})$ *between* $\mathbf{Y}$ *and* $\mathbf{Z}$ *is given by*

$$D(f_{\mathbf{Y}}||f_{\mathbf{Z}}) = E_{\mathbf{X}_0}\left[\ln\left(\frac{2^{m-1}\Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta}\mathbf{X}_0|\mathbf{\Delta}^*)}{\Phi(\alpha'\omega^{-1}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{X}_0)}\right)\right], \tag{3.20}$$

where $\mathbf{X}_0 \sim CFUSN_{n,m}(\mathbf{\Delta})$*.*

4 Appendix

Proof of Proposition 2.

Consider the transformation $y = \ln x$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} H_{LSN(\mu,\sigma^2,\alpha)} &= -\int_0^\infty \frac{2}{x}\phi(\ln x|\mu,\sigma^2)\Phi(\alpha\sigma^{-1}(\ln x-\mu))\ln\left[\frac{2}{x}\phi(\ln x|\mu,\sigma^2)\Phi(\alpha\sigma^{-1}(\ln x-\mu))\right]dx \\ &= -\int_{-\infty}^\infty 2\phi(y|\mu,\sigma^2)\Phi(\alpha\sigma^{-1}(y-\mu))\ln\left[2\phi(y|\mu,\sigma^2)\Phi(\alpha\sigma^{-1}(y-\mu))\right]dy \\ &\quad +\int_{-\infty}^\infty 2y\phi(y|\mu,\sigma^2)\Phi(\alpha\sigma^{-1}(y-\mu))dy \\ &= H_{SN(\mu,\sigma^2,\alpha)} + E(Y). \ \square \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Proposition 3. Consider the transformation $\mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1/2}(\mathbf{x}-\mu)$ and the pdf given in Expression (2.6). We have that

$$\begin{aligned} H_{CFUSN(\mu,\mathbf{\Sigma},\mathbf{\Delta})} &= -\int_{-\infty}^\infty 2^m|\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{-1/2}\phi_n(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1/2}(\mathbf{x}-\mu))\Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta'}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1/2}(\mathbf{x}-\mu)|\mathbf{\Delta}^*) \\ &\quad \ln\left[2^m|\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{-1/2}\phi_n(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1/2}(\mathbf{x}-\mu))\Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta'}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1/2}(\mathbf{x}-\mu)|\mathbf{\Delta}^*)\right]d\mathbf{x} \\ &= -\int_{-\infty}^\infty 2^m\phi_n(\mathbf{x}_0)\Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta'}\mathbf{x}_0|\mathbf{\Delta}^*)\ln\left[2^m|\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{-1/2}\phi_n(\mathbf{x}_0)\Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta'}\mathbf{x}_0|\mathbf{\Delta}^*)\right]d\mathbf{x}_0 \\ &= -\int_{-\infty}^\infty 2^m\phi_n(\mathbf{x}_0)\Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta'}\mathbf{x}_0|\mathbf{\Delta}^*)\ln\left[\phi_n(\mathbf{x}_0)\right]d\mathbf{x}_0 + \frac{1}{2}\ln|\mathbf{\Sigma}| - \\ &\quad \int_{-\infty}^\infty 2^m\phi_n(\mathbf{x}_0)\Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta'}\mathbf{x}_0|\mathbf{\Delta}^*)\ln\left[2^m\Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta'}\mathbf{x}_0|\mathbf{\Delta}^*)\right]d\mathbf{x}_0 \\ &= -\int_{-\infty}^\infty 2^m\phi_n(\mathbf{x}_0)\Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta'}\mathbf{x}_0|\mathbf{\Delta}^*)\ln\left[(2\pi)^{-n/2}e^{\frac{x_0'x_0}{2}}\right]d\mathbf{x}_0 - \\ &\quad E(\ln\left[2^m\Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta'}\mathbf{x}_0|\mathbf{\Delta}^*)\right]) + \frac{1}{2}\ln|\mathbf{\Sigma}| \\ &= \frac{n}{2}\ln 2\pi + \frac{1}{2}\ln|\mathbf{\Sigma}| + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n E(X_{i0}^2) - E_{X_0}[\ln(2^m\Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta'}\mathbf{X}_0|\mathbf{\Delta}^*))]. \ \square \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Corollary 5.

Note that

$$-\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{j=1}^m \Delta_{ij}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n\left(\sum_{j=1}^m\Delta_{ij}\right)^2 = -\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{j=1}^m\Delta_{ij}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n\left(\sum_{j=1}^m\Delta_{ij}^2 + 2\sum_{k=1}^m\mathbf{\Delta}_{ik}\mathbf{\Delta}_{jk}\right). \tag{4.1}$$

If $\mathbf{\Delta'}\mathbf{\Delta}$ is diagonal, the summand $2\sum_{k=1}^m\mathbf{\Delta}_{ik}\mathbf{\Delta}_{jk}$ is zero. Therefore, the Expression (4.1) vanishes. This completes the proof. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 4.

Consider the transformation $\mathbf{x} = \ln \mathbf{z}$ and the pdf given in Expression (2.8). We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{LCFUSN_{n,m}(\mu,\mathbf{\Sigma},\mathbf{\Delta})} &= -\int_0^\infty 2^m \left(\prod_{i=1}^n z_i\right)^{-1} |\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi_n(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\ln \mathbf{z} - \mu)) \Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta}'\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\ln \mathbf{z} - \mu)|\mathbf{\Delta}^*) \\
&\quad \ln\left[2^m \left(\prod_{i=1}^n z_i\right)^{-1} |\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi_n(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\ln \mathbf{z} - \mu)) \Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta}'\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\ln \mathbf{z} - \mu)|\mathbf{\Delta}^*)\right] d\mathbf{z} \\
&= -\int_{-\infty}^\infty 2^m |\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi_n(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{x} - \mu)) \Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta}'\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{x} - \mu)|\mathbf{\Delta}^*) \\
&\quad \ln\left[2^m \left(e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}\right) |\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi_n(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{x} - \mu)) \Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta}'\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{x} - \mu)|\mathbf{\Delta}^*)\right] d\mathbf{x} \\
&= -\int_{-\infty}^\infty 2^m |\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi_n(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{x} - \mu)) \Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta}'\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{x} - \mu)|\mathbf{\Delta}^*) \\
&\quad \ln\left[2^m |\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi_n(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{x} - \mu)) \Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta}'\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{x} - \mu)|\mathbf{\Delta}^*)\right] d\mathbf{x} \\
&\quad + \int_{-\infty}^\infty 2^m |\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi_n(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{x} - \mu)) \Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta}'\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{x} - \mu)|\mathbf{\Delta}^*) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) d\mathbf{x} \\
&= H_{CFUSN_{n,m}(\mu,\mathbf{\Sigma},\mathbf{\Delta})} + \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i). \ \square
\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Proposition 5.

By (1.3) and (3.16) we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{\mathbf{Z}_1\mathbf{Z}_2} &= \frac{n_1}{2}\ln 2\pi + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} E(X_i^2) + \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} E(X_i) - E_{X_1}[\ln(2^m \Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta}_1'\mathbf{X}_1|\mathbf{I}_m - \mathbf{\Delta}_1'\mathbf{\Delta}_1))] \\
&\quad + \frac{n_2}{2}\ln 2\pi + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=n_1+1}^{n_2} E(X_i^2) + \sum_{i=n_1+1}^{n_2} E(X_i) - E_{X_2}[\ln(2^m \Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta}_2'\mathbf{X}_2|\mathbf{I}_m - \mathbf{\Delta}_2'\mathbf{\Delta}_2))] \\
&\quad - \frac{n}{2}\ln 2\pi - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} E(X_i^2) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} E(X_i) + E_X[\ln(2^m \Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta}'\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{I}_m - \mathbf{\Delta}'\mathbf{\Delta}))] \\
&= E_X\left[\ln\left(\frac{\Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta}'\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{I}_m - \mathbf{\Delta}'\mathbf{\Delta})}{2^m \Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta}_1'\mathbf{X}_1|\mathbf{I}_m - \mathbf{\Delta}_1'\mathbf{\Delta}_1)\Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta}_2'\mathbf{X}_2|\mathbf{I}_m - \mathbf{\Delta}_2'\mathbf{\Delta}_2)}\right)\right]. \ \square
\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Proposition 6.

By definition

$$\begin{aligned}
D(f_{\mathbf{Z}}||f_{\mathbf{Y}}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{w}) \ln\left[\frac{f_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{w})}{f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{w})}\right] d\mathbf{w} & \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{w}) \ln[f_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{w})] d\mathbf{w} - \int f_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{w}) \ln[f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{w})] d\mathbf{w} \\
&= -H_{LCFUSN_{n,m}(\mu,\mathbf{\Sigma},\mathbf{\Delta})} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{w}) \ln[f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{w})] d\mathbf{w}.
\end{aligned}$$

The integral at the rhs can be calculated using the transformation $\mathbf{x} = \ln \mathbf{w}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty f_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{w}) \ln[f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{w})] d\mathbf{w} &= \int_0^\infty 2^m \left(\prod_{i=1}^n w_i\right)^{-1} |\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi_n(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\ln \mathbf{w} - \mu)) \Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta'}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\ln \mathbf{w} - \mu)|\mathbf{\Delta}^*) \\
&\quad \ln\left[2^m \left(\prod_{i=1}^n w_i\right)^{-1} |\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi_n(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\ln \mathbf{w} - \mu)) \Phi(\alpha'\omega^{-1}(\ln \mathbf{w} - \mu))\right] d\mathbf{w} \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^\infty 2^m |\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi_n(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{x} - \mu)) \Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta'}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{x} - \mu)|\mathbf{\Delta}^*) \\
&\quad \ln\left[2^m e^{-\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right)} |\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi_n(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{x} - \mu)) \Phi(\alpha'\omega^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \mu))\right] d\mathbf{x} \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^\infty 2^m |\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi_n(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{x} - \mu)) \Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta'}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{x} - \mu)|\mathbf{\Delta}^*) \\
&\quad \ln\left[\phi_n(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{x} - \mu))\right] d\mathbf{x} - \sum_{i=1}^n E_{X_i}(X_i) - \frac{1}{2}\ln|\mathbf{\Sigma}| \\
&\quad + \int_{-\infty}^\infty 2^m |\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi_n(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{x} - \mu)) \Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta'}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{x} - \mu)|\mathbf{\Delta}^*) \\
&\quad \ln\left[2^m \Phi(\alpha'\omega^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \mu))\right] d\mathbf{x}. \qquad (4.2)
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (4.2) and the transformation $\mathbf{X}_0 = \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{X} - \mu)$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty f_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{w}) \ln[f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{w})] d\mathbf{w} &= \int_{-\infty}^\infty 2^m |\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi_n(\mathbf{x}_0) \Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta'}\mathbf{x}_0|\mathbf{\Delta}^*) \ln\left[(2\pi)^{-n/2} e^{\frac{x_0'x_0}{2}}\right] d\mathbf{x} - \sum_{i=1}^n E_{X_i}(X_i) \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{2}\ln|\mathbf{\Sigma}| + \int_{-\infty}^\infty 2^m \phi_n(\mathbf{x}_0) \Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta'}\mathbf{x}_0|\mathbf{\Delta}^*) \ln\left[2\Phi(\alpha'\omega^{-1}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{x}_0)\right] d\mathbf{x}_0. \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n E(X_{0i}^2) - \frac{n}{2}\ln 2\pi - \sum_{i=1}^n E_{X_i}(X_i) - \frac{1}{2}\ln|\mathbf{\Sigma}| \\
&\quad + \int_{-\infty}^\infty 2^m \phi_n(\mathbf{x}_0) \Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta'}\mathbf{x}_0|\mathbf{\Delta}^*) \ln\left[2\Phi(\alpha'\omega^{-1}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{x}_0)\right] d\mathbf{x}_0.
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{X}_0 \sim CFUSN_{n,m}(\mathbf{\Delta})$, X_{0i} is the i-th component of $\mathbf{X}_0$ and X_i is the i-th component of $\mathbf{X} \sim CFUSN_{n,m}(\mu, \mathbf{\Sigma}, \mathbf{\Delta})$.

Substituing (4.3) in (4.2) we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
D(f_{\mathbf{Z}}||f_{\mathbf{Y}}) &= -H_{LCFUSN} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{x}) \ln[f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{x})] d\mathbf{x} \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\ln|\mathbf{\Sigma}| - \frac{n}{2}\ln 2\pi - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n E(X_{i0}^2) - \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i) + E_{X_0}[\ln(2^m \Phi(\mathbf{\Delta'}\mathbf{X}_0|\mathbf{\Delta}^*))] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n E(X_{0i}^2) + \frac{n}{2}\ln 2\pi + \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i) - E_{\mathbf{X}_0}\left[\ln \Phi(\alpha'\omega^{-1}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{X}_0)\right] + \frac{1}{2}\ln|\mathbf{\Sigma}| \\
&= E_{\mathbf{X}_0}\left[\ln\left(\frac{2^{m-1}\Phi_m(\mathbf{\Delta}\mathbf{X}_0|\mathbf{\Delta}^*)}{\Phi(\alpha'\omega^{-1}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{X}_0)}\right)\right]. \ \square
\end{aligned}$$

References

[1] Ahmed, N. A. e Gokhale, D. V. (1989). *Entropy expressions and their estimators for multivariate distributions.* IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **35**, 688-692.

[2] Arellano-Valle, R. B., Contreras-Reyes, J. E. e Genton, M. G. (2011). *Shannon Entropy and Mutual Information for Multivariate Skew-Elliptical Distributions.* Scandinavian Journal of Statistics **40**, 42-62.

[3] Arellano-Valle, R. B. e Genton, M. G. (2005). *On fundamental skew distributions.* Journal of Multivariate Analysis, **96 (1)**, 93-116.

[4] Azzalini, A. (1985). *A class of distributions which includes the normal ones.* Scandinavian Journal of Statistics, **12**, 171-178.

[5] Azzalini, A. e Dalla Valle, A. (1996). *The multivariate skew-normal distribution.* Biometrika, **83**, 715-726.

[6] A. Azzalini, T. Dal Cappello and S. Kotz (2003). *Log-skew-normal and log-skew-t distributions as models for family income data.* J. Income Distribution, **11**, no. 3-4, 12-20.

[7] Branco, M.D. and Dey, D.K. (2001). *A class of multivariate skew-elliptical distributions.* Journal of Multivariate Analysis, **79**, 99-113.

[8] Cover, T. M. e Thomas, J. A. (2006). *Elements of information theory.* Second edn. Wiley, New Jersey.

[9] Genton MG. (2004). *Skew-Elliptical Distributions and Their Applications: A Journey Beyond Normality.* Chapman e Hall/CRC.

[10] G. González-Farías, J.A. Domínguez-Molina, A.K. Gupta (2004) *Additive properties of skew normal random vectors.* J. Statist. Plann. Inference **126**, 521-534

[11] Javier, W. R. e Gupta, A. K. (2008).*Mutual information for the mixture of two multivariate normal distributions.* Far East J. Theor. Stat. **26**, 47-58.

[12] Javier, W. R. e Gupta, A. K. (2009). *Mutual information for certain multivariate distributions.* Far East J. Theor. Stat. **29**, 39-51

[13] Kullback, S. (1978). *Information theory and statistics.* Dover Edition, Gloucester.

[14] Marchenko, Y. V. e Genton, M. G. (2010). *Multivariate log-skew-elliptical distributions with applications to precipitation data.* Environmetrics **21**, 318-340.

[15] Shannon, C. E. (1948). *A mathematical theory of communication. Bell Syst.* Tech. J. **27**, 379-423, 623-656.